arXiv:cond-mat/9304014v1 8 Apr 1993

Bosonization of the Low Energy Excitations of Fermi Liquids

A. H. Castro Neto and Eduardo Fradkin

Loomis Laboratory of Physics

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

1100 W.Green St., Urbana, IL, 61801-3080

Abstract

We bosonize the low energy excitations of Fermi Liquids in any number of dimensions in the limit of long wavelengths. The bosons are coherent superposition of electron-hole pairs and are related with the displacements of the Fermi Surface in some arbitrary direction. A coherent-state path integral for the bosonized theory is derived and it is shown to represent histories of the shape of the Fermi Surface. The Landau equation for the sound waves is shown to be exact in the semiclassical approximation for the bosons.

PACS numbers: 05.30.Fk, 05.30.Jp, 11.10.Ef, 11.40.Fy, 71.27.+a, 71.45.-d

Typeset using REVTEX

The attempts of description of fermionic systems by bosons date to the early days of second quantization. In the early 50's Tomonaga [1], generalizing earlier work by Bloch [2] on sound waves in dense fermi systems, gave an explicit construction of the Bloch waves for systems in one spacial dimension. His work was subsequently generalized by many authors [3] who derived an explicit fermi-bose transmutation in one-dimensional systems. These works uncovered deep connections in relativistic field theories (both fermionic and bosonic) and with condensed matter systems.

The success of the bosonization approach in one dimension is related to phase space considerations. Even for non-interacting fermions two excitations with arbitrarily low energies, moving in the same direction move at the same speed (the Fermi velocity) and, hence, are almost a bound state. Consequently, even the weakest interactions can induce dramatic changes in the nature of the low lying states. These effects are detected even in perturbation theory and result in the presence of marginal operators. In dimensions higher than one, phase space considerations change the physics of the low-lying states and there are no marginal operators left. This observation is at the root of the stability of the Landau theory of the Fermi liquid [4]. It is, thus, hardly surprising that very few attempts have been made to generalize the bosonization approach to dimensions higher than one. The earliest serious attempt at bosonization in higher dimensions was carried out by Luther [5]. Luther constructed a generalized bosonization formula in terms of the fluctuations of the Fermi Sea along radial directions in momentum space. Interest in the construction of bosonized versions of Fermi liquids has been revived recently in the context of strongly correlated systems [6]. That particle-hole excitations have bosonic character is well known since the early days of the Landau Theory, *e. g.* the sound waves (zero sound collective modes) of the Fermi Surface of neutral liquids or plasmons in charged Fermi Liquids [7]. Haldane [8] has recently derived an algebra for the densities for a Fermi Liquid in a form of a generalized Kac-Moody algebra (which is central to the construction in one dimension) (see also ref. [9]).

The main point of this article is to derive a description of the Fermi Liquids as the physics of the dynamics of the Fermi Surface. The main reason to believe that the Fermi

Surface is a *real* dynamical entity is based on the following observation: the total energy of an interacting electronic system can be written as an integral in momentum space of the form [10] $E = \sum_{\vec{k}} E_{\vec{k}}$. For the case of Fermi Liquids, $E_{\vec{k}}$ has a discontinuity at the Fermi Surface (exactly as for the case of the occupation number [11]). We can think of this discontinuity as due to the difference of energy density across the Fermi Surface [12]. Hence, we can define an energy per unit area of the Fermi Surface, *i. e.* a surface tension. It readily follows that this surface tension is proportional to the quasiparticle residue and therefore it vanishes for Non-Fermi Liquid behavior. From this point of view we see the Fermi Surface as a drumhead where the elementary excitations are the sound waves (and in particular the zero sound) which propagate on it. In this paper we will derive an effective bosonized theory for the dynamics of these excitations.

Our starting point to approach this problem resembles the microscopic approaches for the foundations of Fermi Liquid Theory [7]. However, instead of working with the dynamics of the response functions, we will work directly with the dynamics of operators as in the standard procedures of bosonization in one dimension. Our main result is the derivation of a bosonized theory of the fluctuations of the shape of the Fermi Surface. The main ingredients of our construction are an effective algebra for the local (in momentum space) of particle-hole operators valid in a Hilbert space restricted to the vicinity of the Fermi Surface and a boson coherent state path-integral constructed from these states. In particular, we get a bosonized version of Landau's theory of the Fermi Liquid in all dimensions, at zero temperature and at very low energies. The coherent-state path integral can be viewed as a sum over the histories of the shape of the Fermi Surface, a bosonic *shape field*. For the case of the Landau hamiltonian we find that resulting bosonic action is quadratic in the shape fields. We find that the semiclassical approximation to our path-integral yields the Landau equation for the sound modes.

For simplicity we will consider a system of interacting spinless fermions (the spin index can be introduced without problems in the formalism). The density of fermions at some point $\vec{r}$ in the d dimensional space at some time t, is given by

$$\rho(\vec{r},t) = \psi^\dagger(\vec{r},t)\psi(\vec{r},t) = \sum_{\vec{k},\vec{q}} c^\dagger_{\vec{k}-\frac{\vec{q}}{2}}(t) c_{\vec{k}+\frac{\vec{q}}{2}}(t)\, e^{i\vec{q}.\vec{r}} \tag{1}$$

where $c^\dagger_{\vec{k}}$ and $c_{\vec{k}}$ are the creation and annihilation operator of an electron at some momentum $\vec{k}$ which obey the Fermionic algebra, $\left\{c^\dagger_{\vec{k}}, c_{\vec{k}'}\right\} = \delta_{\vec{k},\vec{k}'}$, where $\{...\}$ is the anticommutator and all other anticommutation relations are zero. In the Fermi Liquid theory [7] the operator which appears in the r.h.s. of (1) determines the behavior of the system. We will concentrate on this operator which we will denote by

$$n_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k},t) = c^\dagger_{\vec{k}-\frac{\vec{q}}{2}}(t) c_{\vec{k}+\frac{\vec{q}}{2}}(t). \tag{2}$$

In particular, $n_0(\vec{k})$ is the number operator in momentum space. As in the standard approaches for the Fermi Liquid theory we will concentrate our interest in regions close to the Fermi Surface ($\vec{k} \sim \vec{k}_F$) and consider the long wavelength fluctuations around these regions ($\vec{q} \to 0$).

The equal time commutation relation between the operators defined in (2) is easily obtained. In the long wavelength limit ($\vec{q} \to 0$) we get

$$\left[n_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k}), n_{\vec{-q}'}(\vec{k}')\right] = -\delta_{\vec{k},\vec{k}'}\delta_{\vec{q},\vec{q}'}\vec{q}.\nabla(n_0(\vec{k})) + 2n_0(\vec{k})\delta_{\vec{q},\vec{-q}'}\vec{q}.\nabla\delta_{\vec{k},\vec{k}'}. \tag{3}$$

We are interested in the behavior of systems with a Hilbert space restricted to the vicinity of the Fermi Surface. We define the Hilbert space to be the filled Fermi Sea in the thermodynamic limit $|FS>$ and the tower of states obtained by acting finitely on it with local fermion operators. We will replace this commutation relation by a weaker identity valid in the restricted Hilbert space. Furthermore, we will make the explicit assumption that we are both in the thermodynamic limit (the momenta form a continuum) and that the Fermi Surface is *macroscopically large* ($p_F \to \infty$). Since the vectors $\vec{k}$ are at the Fermi surface, $\vec{q}$ are very small compared to the the Fermi momentum, in the restricted Hilbert space it is possible to replace the r. h. s. of (3) by their expectation value in the Filled Fermi Sea $|FS>$, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} n_0(\vec{k}) &\to \langle n_0(\vec{k})\rangle = \Theta(\mu - \epsilon_{\vec{k}}) \\ \nabla n_0(\vec{k}) &\to \nabla\langle n_0(\vec{k})\rangle = -\vec{v}_{\vec{k}}\delta(\mu - \epsilon_{\vec{k}}) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where μ is the chemical potential, $\epsilon_{\vec{k}}$ is the one-particle fermion spectrum (from which the Hilbert space is constructed) and $\vec{v}_{\vec{k}} = \nabla\epsilon_{\vec{k}}$ the velocity of the excitations. The terms ignored in (3) vanish as $\frac{1}{k_F^2}$ as the size of the Fermi Surface diverges. These are exactly the same assumptions that enter in the construction in one-dimension. Notice that the state $|FS>$, which is used to normal-order the operators, is not necessarily the ground state of the system of interest. However, this approach will succeed only the true ground state belongs to the restricted space.

Hence, within this approximation the commutators of the operators $n_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k})$ become c-numbers, namely,

$$\left[n_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k}), n_{-\vec{q'}}(\vec{k'})\right] = \delta_{\vec{k},\vec{k'}}\delta_{\vec{q},\vec{q'}}\vec{q}.\vec{v}_{\vec{k}}\delta(\mu - \epsilon_{\vec{k}}). \tag{5}$$

where we drop the last term in the r.h.s. of (3) because its matrix elements near the Fermi Surface are down by powers of $\frac{1}{k_F}$ (the leading term being zero). We now define the operator

$$a_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k}) = n_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k})\Theta(sgn(q)) + n_{-\vec{q}}(\vec{k})\Theta(-sgn(q)) \tag{6}$$

where the $sgn(q)$ is $+1$ if $\vec{q}$ is outside the Fermi Surface and -1 if it is inside the Fermi Surface. Observe that (6) is not well defined at $q = 0$ which requires special care. We will come back to this issue below. The adjoint of (6) is simply,

$$a^\dagger_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k}) = n_{-\vec{q}}(\vec{k})\Theta(sgn(q)) + n_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k})\Theta(-sgn(q)) \tag{7}$$

where we used, from the definition (2), that $n^\dagger_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k}) = n_{-\vec{q}}(\vec{k})$.

It is important to notice that the operator defined in (6) annihilates the filled Fermi Sea

$$a_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k}) \mid FS\rangle = 0. \tag{8}$$

Moreover, from the commutation relations (5) we easily obtain,

$$\left[a_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k}), a^\dagger_{\vec{q'}}(\vec{k'})\right] = \mid \vec{q}.\vec{v}_{\vec{k}} \mid \delta(\mu - \epsilon_{\vec{k}})\delta_{\vec{k},\vec{k'}}\left(\delta_{\vec{q},\vec{q'}} + \delta_{\vec{q},-\vec{q'}}\right), \tag{9}$$

all other commutators vanish.

Eq. (8) and (9) show that the elementary excitations have bosonic character, electron-hole pairs, and are created and annihilated close to the Fermi Surface by these operators, moreover, they span the Hilbert space of low energy. But we need an interpretation for these excitations. It is natural now to define the coherent state [13]

$$\mid u_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k})\rangle = U(\vec{k}) \mid FS\rangle \tag{10}$$

where

$$U(\vec{k}) = \exp\left(\sum_{\vec{q}} \frac{v_{\vec{k}}}{2 \mid \vec{q}.\vec{v}_{\vec{k}} \mid} u_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k})\, a^{\dagger}_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k})\right) \tag{11}$$

where the sum (and all other sums that follow are restricted to be close to $q \to 0$). Observe that from the definition (7) we have $a^{\dagger}_{-\vec{q}}(\vec{k}) = a^{\dagger}_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k})$ and therefore $u_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k}) = u_{-\vec{q}}(\vec{k})$. Using this property and the commutation relation (9) we find

$$U^{-1}(\vec{k})\, a_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k})\, U(\vec{k}) = a_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k}) + \delta(\mu - \epsilon_{\vec{k}}) v_{\vec{k}} u_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k}) \tag{12}$$

which, together with (8), leads us to the eigenvalue equation,

$$a_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k}) \mid u_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k})\rangle = \delta(\mu - \epsilon_{\vec{k}})\, v_{\vec{k}}\, u_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k}) \mid u_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k})\rangle. \tag{13}$$

It is easy to see that $u_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k})$ is the displacement of the Fermi Surface at the point $\vec{k}$ in the direction of $\vec{q}$. Indeed, suppose we change the shape of the Fermi surface at some point $\vec{k}$ by an amount $u(\vec{k})$. The occupation number changes up to leading order by $\delta\langle n_0(\vec{k})\rangle = -\frac{\partial\langle n_0(\vec{k})\rangle}{\partial\vec{k}} u(\vec{k}) = v_{\vec{k}}\delta(\mu - \epsilon_{\vec{k}}) u(\vec{k})$ which is precisely the quantity which appears in (13). Hence, the coherent states of eq (10) represent *deformed Fermi Surfaces* parametrized by the bosonic field $u_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k})$.

Next we define a many-body state which is a direct product of the coherent states defined above,

$$\mid \{u\}\rangle = \prod_{\vec{k}} \otimes U(\vec{k}) \mid FS\rangle = \Xi[u] \mid FS\rangle \tag{14}$$

where, due to the commutation relation at different $\vec{k}$'s,

$$\Xi[u] = \exp\left(\sum_{\vec{k},\vec{q}} \frac{v_{\vec{k}}}{2 \mid \vec{q}.\vec{v}_{\vec{k}} \mid} u_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k})\, a^{\dagger}_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k})\right). \tag{15}$$

The adjoint is simply,

$$\Xi^{\dagger}[u] = \exp\left(\sum_{\vec{k},\vec{q}} \frac{v_{\vec{k}}}{2 \mid \vec{q}.\vec{v}_{\vec{k}} \mid} u^{*}_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k})\, a_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k})\right). \tag{16}$$

From the above equations we obtain the overlap of two of these coherent states

$$\langle \{w\} \mid \{u\} \rangle = \langle FS \mid \Xi^{\dagger}[w]\Xi[u] \mid FS \rangle = \exp\left(\sum_{\vec{k},\vec{q}} \frac{v^2_{\vec{k}}\delta(\mu - \epsilon_{\vec{k}})}{2 \mid \vec{q}.\vec{v}_{\vec{k}} \mid} w^{*}_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k})\, u_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k})\right). \tag{17}$$

It is also possible to find the resolution of the identity for this Hilbert space,

$$1 = \int ... \int \prod_{\vec{k},\vec{q}} \left(\frac{v^2_{\vec{k}}\delta(\mu - \epsilon_{\vec{k}})}{2\pi i \mid \vec{q}.\vec{v}_{\vec{k}} \mid} du_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k})\, du^{*}_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k}) \mid u_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k}) \rangle \langle u_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k}) \mid \right)$$
$$\exp\left(- \sum_{\vec{k},\vec{q}} \frac{v^2_{\vec{k}}\delta(\mu - \epsilon_{\vec{k}})}{2 \mid \vec{q}.\vec{v}_{\vec{k}} \mid} \mid u_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k}) \mid^2 \right) \tag{18}$$

and we conclude, as expected, that they are overcomplete [14].

In order to study the dynamics of these modes we can construct, from (17) and (18), a generating functional as a sum over the histories of the Fermi Surface in terms of these coherent states in the form $Z = \int D^2u \, \exp\{i\, S[u]\}$ where S is the action whose Lagrangian density is given by ($\hbar = 1$),

$$L[u] = \sum_{\vec{k},\vec{q}} \frac{v^2_{\vec{k}}\delta(\mu - \epsilon_{\vec{k}})}{\mid \vec{q}.\vec{v}_{\vec{k}} \mid} i\, u^{*}_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k},t) \frac{\partial u_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k},t)}{\partial t} - \frac{\langle \{u\} \mid H \mid \{u\} \rangle}{\langle \{u\} \mid \{u\} \rangle} \tag{19}$$

where H is the Hamiltonian of the system.

Consider now the the simplest Hamiltonian possible, for instance, one which is quadratic in the operators $a^{\dagger}$ and a,

$$H = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\vec{k},\vec{k'},\vec{q}} G^{\vec{q}}_{\vec{k},\vec{k'}} a^{\dagger}_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k})\, a_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k'}). \tag{20}$$

where V is the volume of the system. Using (13) we write the Lagrangian density in the form

$$L[u] = \sum_{\vec{k},\vec{k'},\vec{q}} \frac{v_{\vec{k}}^2 \delta(\mu - \epsilon_{\vec{k}})}{\mid \vec{q}.\vec{v}_{\vec{k}} \mid} u_{\vec{q}}^*(\vec{k},t) \left(i\delta_{\vec{k},\vec{k'}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{V} W_{\vec{k},\vec{k'}}^{\vec{q}} \delta(\mu - \epsilon_{\vec{k'}}) \right) u_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k'},t) \tag{21}$$

where

$$W_{\vec{k},\vec{k'}}^{\vec{q}} = \frac{\mid \vec{q}.\vec{v}_{\vec{k}} \mid \; v_{\vec{k'}}}{v_{\vec{k}}} G_{\vec{k},\vec{k'}}^{\vec{q}}. \tag{22}$$

Notice that this action is quadratic in the fields and, therefore, the semiclassical approximation is exact. The semiclassical equations of motion for this action are given by the saddle point equation derived from L, namely,

$$i\frac{\partial u_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k},t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\vec{k'}} W_{\vec{k},\vec{k'}}^{\vec{q}} \delta(\mu - \epsilon_{\vec{k'}}) u_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k'},t). \tag{23}$$

is a partial integral equation. Observe that the vectors $\vec{k}$ are at the Fermi Surface and, therefore, the above equation only depends on the solid angle, Ω, and the velocity $\vec{v}_{\vec{k}}$. We can therefore integrate over the magnitude of $\vec{k'}$ and use that the density of states at the Fermi Surface is given by, $N(0) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \delta(\mu - \epsilon_{\vec{k}})$. Therefore, in the thermodynamic limit we replace (23) by,

$$i\frac{\partial u_{\vec{q}}(\Omega,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{N(0)}{S_d} \int d\Omega' \, W_{\Omega,\Omega'}^{\vec{q}} \, u_{\vec{q}}(\Omega',t). \tag{24}$$

where $S_d = (2\pi)^{-d} \int d\Omega$. In particular, we choose,

$$G_{\vec{k},\vec{k'}}^{\vec{q}} = \frac{\delta_{\vec{k},\vec{k'}}}{N(0)} + f_{\vec{k},\vec{k'}} \tag{25}$$

and define the angle θ such that $\vec{q}.\vec{v}_{\vec{k}} = q v_F \, cos\theta$. With the choice of eq (25) the $\vec{q} = 0$ ambiguity mentioned above is removed. It is easy to see that equation (24) becomes

$$i\frac{\partial u_{\vec{q}}(\Omega,t)}{\partial t} = q v_F \, cos\theta \, u_{\vec{q}}(\Omega,t) + q v_F \, cos\theta \int d\Omega' \, F_{\Omega,\Omega'} \, u_{\vec{q}}(\Omega',t) \tag{26}$$

with $F_{\vec{k},\vec{k'}} = N(0) f_{\vec{k},\vec{k'}}$. Eq (26) is the Landau equation of motion for sound waves (the collective modes) of a neutral Fermi Liquid where $f_{\vec{k},\vec{k'}}$ is the scattering amplitude for particle-hole pairs [7].

To understand the reason for this result we rewrite the Hamiltonian H in terms of the original operators (2). Using (6) and (7) we find,

$$H = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\vec{k},\vec{k'},\vec{q}} G^{\vec{q}}_{\vec{k},\vec{k'}} n^{\dagger}_{-\vec{q}}(\vec{k})\, n_{\vec{q}}(\vec{k'}) \Theta(sgn(q)). \tag{27}$$

which gives the the change in the energy up to second order in the deviations $\delta n(\vec{k})$ of the Landau theory of the Fermi Liquid when the Fermi Surface changes from $\vec{k}_F$ to $\vec{k}_F + \delta\vec{k}_F(\theta)$. Observe that this Hamiltonian is interacting in terms of the original electrons. Recall that in one dimension the bosonized theory is also quadratic (in the absence of relevant operators).

We conclude therefore that our bosons represent sound waves which propagate around the Fermi Surface at zero temperature. The solution of eq (26) will give the possible values for the frequencies of oscillation for these modes and they will depend essentially on the Landau parameters of the theory. The Landau equation, eq (26), yields solutions which represents both stable collective modes ("sounds") as well as solutions with imaginary frequency which represent the decay of these modes in the particle-hole continuum. The presence of this second type of solutions implies that the path integration must also be done over unstable configurations. This behavior is a direct consequence of the phase space. Notice that in one dimension these unstable solutions are absent and only the collective mode is left.

In summary, in this paper we have shown that it is possible to bosonize the particle-hole elementary excitations of a Fermi Liquid in any number of dimensions consistently with the Landau Theory. We have shown that the bosons are coherent superposition of particle-hole pairs close to the Fermi Surface. We also have shown that the coherent states associated with these excitations are related with sound waves which propagate in the Fermi Surface. Our approach gives for simple Hamiltonian models, in the semiclassical limit, the Landau equation which describes the propagation of these sound waves.

One of us (A.H.C.N.) acknowledges J. M. P. Carmelo for useful discussions and CNPq (Brazil) for a scholarship. This work was supported in part by NSF Grant No. DMR91-22385 at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

REFERENCES

[1] S. Tomonaga, Prog.Theor.Phys. (Kyoto), **5**, 544, (1950).

[2] F. Bloch, Z. Phys. **81**, 363 (1933).

[3] D. Mattis and E. Lieb, J. Math. Phys. **6**, 304 (1965); A. Luther, Phys. Rev. B **14**, 2153 (1975); S. Coleman, Phys. Rev. D. **11**, 2088 (1975); S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rev. D. **11**, 3026 (1975); W. Thirring, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) **3**, 91 (1958); J.M.Luttinger, J.Math.Phys. **4**, 1154, (1963).

[4] R. Shankar, Yale University preprint (1993), to appear in the Proceedings of the Adriatico Summer School on Low Dimensional Systems, Trieste (Italy, 1992) , ed.Yu Lu; J. Polchinski, UCSB-ITP preprint (1992), Proceedings of the TASI Summer School.

[5] A.Luther, Phys.Rev.B, **19**, 320, (1979).

[6] P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 1839 (1990).

[7] G.Baym and C.Pethick, *Landau Fermi-Liquid Theory*, (John Wiley, New York, 1991).

[8] F. D. M. Haldane, private communication and Helv. Phys. Acta. **65**, 152 (1992).

[9] A. Houghton and B. Marston, Brown University Preprint (1992).

[10] L. Kadanoff and G. Baym, *Quantum Statistical Mechanics*, Addison Wesley (Advanced Book Classics), Redwood City (1991).

[11] J.M.Luttinger, Phys.Rev. **119**, 1153, (1960).

[12] A.H.Castro Neto and E.Fradkin, in preparation.

[13] M.Stone, Phys. Rev. Lett. (**63**), 731 (1989).

[14] J. Klauder and B. S. Skagerstam, *Coherent States*, World Scientific (Singapore, 1985).